

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Haigh
Given Name	Philip
Person ID	1286572
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Haigh
Given Name	Philip
Person ID	1286572
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Not everyone has been directly informed of the proposals. Also those without internet access will struggle to lodge a complaint.
Family Name	Haigh
Given Name	Philip
Person ID	1286572
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Haigh
Given Name	Philip
Person ID	1286572
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The proposal to build 1100 homes in addition to the 600 plus homes in the process of being built in the Mosley Common area, will merely cause greater congestion on the roads with idling traffic increasing the air pollution and will have an adverse effect on the health of the local population. With all the local amenities based adjacent to the main road through Mosely Common to which local people walk to, including the local Primary School.</p> <p>What is the percentage of affordable housing as the current new builds in the area are out of the range of the local people at 350K.</p> <p>The guided busway is at peak time capacity based on the current volume of people using it to get into Manchester and the introduction of more buses will simple erode the busway quicker requiring more maintenance.</p> <p>There are no specific details of how the required infrastructure to support an addition 1100 homes and there occupants, only proposal of financial support. Where are the new Doctor"s, Pharmacists and Dentist Practices going to be located to support this surge in local population only to grow as the families in the new homes grow.</p> <p>As the country is going towards a green environment there are no details of the level of build efficiency the new houses will take, will they be carbon neutral.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance	Do not build the proposed 1100 houses and leave the area as green belt for the local population to enjoy improving their health and wellbeing.

**or soundness matters
you have identified
above.**